WORKSHOP THEME 5, SESSION 3E ASSESSING THE IUCN PROTECTED AREA MANAGEMENT CATEGORY SYSTEM

Leaders: Adrian Phillips, Sue Stolton, Nigel Dudley and Kevin Bishop

Organisers: Adrian Phillips Sue Stolton, Nigel Dudley and Kevin Bishop

Overview

The workshop was attended by more than 40 people. In terms of geographical balance, the continents of Africa and Asia were under-represented and due to the parallel workshop on Marine Protected Areas such interests were also probably under represented

Key Issues

- General support for the IUCN protected area management categories system as the common language for the protected areas community
- Support for the existing objectives-led system
- Recognition that the system is not perfect and that improvements are needed in: guidance and application
- Need to seize the moment and ensure that we link effectively to the CBD process to avoid two systems for categorising protected areas but recognition that CBD already has a different definition of a 'protected area'
- Need to develop a wider sense of ownership of the categories system based on a common understanding
- Need to be realistic in our expectations. Develop a work programme for the short, medium and long terms that is clear in what we are trying to achieve
- Recognition that the system is now being used for new purposes
- Categories should only be assigned to areas that meet IUCN's definition of a protected area

Emerging Issues

- Discussion of what the definition of a protected area should include that focused on the concepts behind the definition rather than the actual words. Appeared to be three distinct concepts: nature conservation; living culture; and bioregional planning.
- Debate about whether there was, or should be, an in-built bias within the IUCN definition towards biodiversity.
- People want areas protected for many other reasons than biodiversity (eg livelihood functions, cultural and social values)
- What does 'other effective means' mean in the IUCN definition of a protected area?
- Discussion of whether categories V and VI were 'protected areas'. Strong concern from the floor about the implications of this.

Outputs and Follow-up action

The outputs from this workshop will be fed into the Speaking a Common Language project with the aim of presenting recommendations to the COP7 of the CBD and World Conservation Congress. For further information and to keep abreast of developments visit: www.cf.ac.uk/cplan/sacl/

Rapporteurs: Kevin Bishop and Sue Stolton